

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIRGINIA MILANES, OMAR MIGUEL FARFAN, MANUEL ALBERTO MARTINEZ, ANDRES GIOVANNY SANCHEZ, NANCY CASTRO, and MARGOTH PEREZ DE CHALAMPA, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals,

#### Plaintiffs,

JANET NAPOLITANO, in her official capacity as
Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,
ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, in his official capacity as
Director of the United States Citizenship and
Immigration Services, ANDREA QUARANTILLO, in
her official capacity as District Director of the New
York City District of the United States Citizenship and
Immigration Services, ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., in his
official capacity as Attorney General of the United
States, and ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, in his official
capacity as Director of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation,

#### Defendants.



**ECF** Case

08 Civ. 2354 (LMM)

STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiffs Virginia Milanes, Omar Miguel Farfan, Manuel Alberto Martinez, Andres Giovanny Sanchez, Nancy Castro, and Margoth Perez De Chalampa (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Janet Napolitano, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; Alejandro Mayorkas, in his official capacity as Director of the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services; Andrea Quarantillo, in her official capacity as District Director of the New York City District of USCIS; Eric H. Holder, Jr., in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States; and Robert S. Mueller, III, in his official capacity as Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Janet Napolitano, Alejandro Mayorkas and Eric H. Holder, Jr., are automatically substituted for their predecessors as defendants in this case.

counsel, hereby enter into this Stipulation and Order of Dismissal ("Stipulation of Dismissal"), as of the date it is executed by all the parties hereto and effective upon order of the Court.

WHEREAS, on March 6, 2008, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, commenced the above-captioned civil action (the "Action") against Defendants seeking class certification, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2008, this Court entered judgment dismissing this action.

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal from the judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

WHEREAS, by Mandate issued on January 26, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit vacated the judgment in part, and remanded the case to this Court to consider the merits of Plaintiffs' class certification motion.

WHEREAS, the parties agree to settlement of this Action in order (i) to avoid the substantial expense, inconvenience, and distraction of protracted litigation; (ii) to obtain dismissal of this Action, with prejudice, with the parties waiving any right to further appeal, except if the case is reinstated pursuant to the terms of the Agreement of Settlement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement")

WHEREAS, the parties, by their counsel, have executed a Settlement Agreement, annexed hereto as Exhibit A.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to this Stipulation of Dismissal, that:

- 1. The above-referenced action be, and hereby is, held in abeyance until July 29, 2011.
- 2. On July 29, 2011, in the event that plaintiffs have not sought reinstatement of this action pursuant to paragraphs 22 through 27 of the Settlement Agreement, the

above-captioned action shall be dismissed with prejudice, and plaintiffs waive any rights they may have to further appeal in this above-captioned Action.

Dated: New York, New York

September 17, 2010

For Plaintiffs:

LATINOJUSTICE PRLDEF

Foster Maer, Esq.

Jose-Luis Perez, Esq. Jackson Chin, Esq.

99 Hudson Street, 14th Floor

New York, NY 10013 Ph: (212) 219-3360

NEW YORK LEGAL ASSISTANCE GROUP Jane Greengold Stevens, Esq.

450 West 33rd Street, 11th Floor

New York, NY 10001

Ph: (212) 613-5000

WEIL GOTSHAL AND MANGES LLP

James W. Quinn, Esq.

Richard W. Slack, Esq.

Caroline Hickey Zalka, Esq.

Daniel B. Hodes, Esq.

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10153

Ph: (212) 310-8000

SO ORDERED:

DATED:

For Defendants:

PREET BHARARA

United States Attorney for the Southern

District of New York

ROBERT WILLIAM YALEN

KIRTI VAIDYA REDDY

TOMOKO ONOZAWA

Assistant United States Attorneys

86 Chambers Street

New York, NY 10007

Ph: (212) 637-2800

HONORABLE LAWRENCE M. MCKENNA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE



# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

VIRGINIA MILANES, OMAR MIGUEL FARFAN, MANUEL ALBERTO MARTINEZ, ANDRES GIOVANNY SANCHEZ, NANCY CASTRO, and MARGOTH PEREZ DE CHALAMPA, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals,

#### Plaintiffs,

JANET NAPOLITANO, in her official capacity as
Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security,
ALEJANDRO MAYORKAS, in his official capacity as
Director of the United States Citizenship and
Immigration Services, ANDREA QUARANTILLO, in
her official capacity as District Director of the New
York City District of the United States Citizenship and
Immigration Services, ERIC H. HOLDER, JR., in his
official capacity as Attorney General of the United
States, and ROBERT S. MUELLER, III, in his official
capacity as Director of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation,

**ECF** Case

08 Civ. 2354 (LMM)

AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

Defendants.

Plaintiffs Virginia Milanes, Omar Miguel Farfan, Manuel Alberto Martinez, Andres Giovanny Sanchez, Nancy Castro, and Margoth Perez De Chalampa (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Janet Napolitano, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; Alejandro Mayorkas, in his official capacity as Director of the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services ("USCIS"); Andrea Quarantillo, in her official capacity as District Director of the New York City District of USCIS; Eric H. Holder, Jr., in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States; and Robert S. Mueller, III, in his official capacity as Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") (collectively, "Defendants" or the "Government"), by and through their counsel, hereby enter into this Agreement of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Janet Napolitano, Alejandro Mayorkas and Eric H. Holder, Jr., are automatically substituted for their predecessors as defendants in this case.

Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement"), to be annexed as Exhibit A to the Stipulation and Order of Dismissal ("Stipulation of Dismissal").

WHEREAS, on March 6, 2008, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, commenced the above-captioned civil action (the "Action") against Defendants seeking class certification, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

WHEREAS, on April 3, 2008, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated individuals, filed motions for a preliminary injunction and class certification.

WHEREAS, on June 19, 2008, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint in part pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and remanding the case in part pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b), or, in the alternative, granting Defendants summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and opposed Plaintiffs' motions for a preliminary injunction and class certification.

WHEREAS, by Order stated on the record on August 7, 2008, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Hon. Lawrence M. McKenna) (the "Court"): granted Defendants' motion for dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to all claims in the complaint; denied Defendants' motions for partial remand pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b) and for summary judgment as moot, without reaching the merits of either motion; denied Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction for failure to show a likelihood of success on the merits; and denied Plaintiffs' motion for class certification without reaching the merits of that motion.

WHEREAS, on August 19, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a motion for reconsideration as to that part of the Court's August 7, 2008 order dismissing Counts I and II of the complaint and denying Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction based on those counts.

WHEREAS, by Order dated September 10, 2008, the Court denied Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration.

WHEREAS, on September 11, 2008, the Court entered judgment denying Plaintiffs' motions for a preliminary injunction and for class certification, granting Defendants' motion for dismissal under Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to all claims in the Complaint, denying Defendants' motion for remand, and denying Defendants' motion for summary judgment as moot.

WHEREAS, on September 24, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal from the judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

WHEREAS, by Mandate issued on January 26, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit vacated the judgment in part, and remanded the case to the Court to consider the merits of Plaintiffs' class certification motion.

WHEREAS, the Action is currently before the Court for proceedings on remand from the Court of Appeals.

WHEREAS, Defendants deny that they have engaged in any wrongdoing, deny the allegations in the Complaint (except insofar as those allegations were admitted in Defendants' answer), deny that they committed any violation of law, deny that they acted improperly in any way with regard to Plaintiffs or individuals within the purported uncertified class and subclass, but agree to settlement of this Action in order to (i) avoid the substantial expense, inconvenience, and distraction of protracted litigation, and (ii) obtain dismissal of this Action, with prejudice, and waiver by Plaintiffs of any right to further appeal, unless the Action is reinstated pursuant to paragraphs 26 and 27 below.

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs, after considering the benefits provided by this Settlement Agreement and the risks of litigation, have concluded that settlement of this action on the terms stated herein is fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of Plaintiffs.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and among the parties to this Settlement Agreement, through their respective attorneys, that the Settled Claims of Plaintiffs, as

defined below, against Defendants shall be compromised, settled, forever released, barred, and dismissed with prejudice, subject to the following terms and conditions:

#### I. **DEFINITIONS**

Wherever used in this Settlement Agreement, the following terms have the meanings set forth below:

- 1. "Action" means the above-captioned action on remand before the United States

  District Court for the Southern District of New York (Docket No. 08 Civ. 2354 (LMM) (KNF)).
- 2. "Attorney General" means Eric H. Holder, Jr., in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States.
  - 3. "Complaint" means the complaint filed in this Action on March 6, 2008.
- 4. "Defendants" means Janet Napolitano, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; Alejandro Mayorkas, in his official capacity as Director of USCIS; Andrea Quarantillo, in her official capacity as District Director of the New York City District of USCIS; Eric H. Holder, Jr., in his official capacity as Attorney General of the United States; and Robert S. Mueller, III, in his official capacity as Director of the FBI.
- 5. "Effective Date" means the date upon which this Settlement shall become effective, as set forth in Paragraph 30 below.
  - 6. "FBI" means Robert S. Mueller, III, in his official capacity as Director of the FBI.
- 7. "Plaintiffs" means Virginia Milanes, Omar Miguel Farfan, Manuel Alberto Martinez, Andrew Giovanny Sanchez, Nancy Castro, and Margoth Perez De Chalampa.
- 8. "USCIS" means Janet Napolitano, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security; Alejandro Majorkas, in his official capacity as Director of USCIS; and Andrea Quarantillo, in her official capacity as District Director of the New York City District of USCIS.
  - 9. "Uncertified Class Settlement Group" means all individuals who resided within

the counties served by USCIS District 3 at the time they filed naturalization applications ("Uncertified Class Applications") and: (i) continue to reside within the jurisdiction of USCIS District 3 as of the Effective Date; (ii) whose applications, as of December 3, 2009, were indicated in USCIS's databases as having been pending without adjudication for 180 days or more since their submission; and (iii) were subsequently confirmed by manual file review to be pending 180 days or more since their submission.

- 10. "1447(b) Subgroup" means the 156 individuals residing within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, whose naturalization applications (the "1447(b) Subgroup Applications") (i) as of December 3, 2009, were indicated in USCIS's databases as having been pending more than 120 days without adjudication after the applicant's initial interview by USCIS, and (ii) were subsequently confirmed by local USCIS review to be pending more than 120 days as of April 2010 without adjudication since the applicant's initial interview. Not included within the 1447(b) Subgroup are individuals whose naturalization applications, according to USCIS, remain pending due to: (a) active investigations related to national security, public safety, or fraud that may preclude a grant of naturalization; (b) pending removal proceedings; (c) the applicant's failure to provide information or take other action reasonably requested by USCIS; or (d) USCIS awaiting receipt of information from the applicant.
- 11. "Plaintiffs' Counsel" means the New York Legal Assistance Group, LatinoJustice PRLDEF (formerly known as the Puerto Rico Legal Defense and Education Fund) and Weil, Gotshal and Manges LLP. Should any of these entities change its name or merge with other entities, those new entities shall also qualify as Plaintiffs' Counsel.
- 12. "Settled Claims" means any and all claims, in law or equity, that were asserted or that could have been asserted by Plaintiffs in this Action, based upon the facts alleged or that could have been alleged in the Complaint.

# II. PLAINTIFFS' DISCONTINUANCE OF PROCEEDINGS ON REMAND AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS TO RESUME APPEAL AGAINST FBI AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

- 13. With respect to all Settled Claims against the FBI, Plaintiffs hereby discontinue with prejudice all proceedings in this Action and shall waive all rights to resume any proceedings (including any appeal) in this Action.
- 14. With respect to all Settled Claims against the Attorney General, Plaintiffs hereby discontinue with prejudice all proceedings in this Action and shall waive all rights to resume any proceedings (including any appeal) in this Action.

# III. USCIS ACTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE UNCERTIFIED CLASS SETTLEMENT GROUP

- 15. USCIS shall provide Plaintiffs' Counsel with three reports containing the information described in Paragraph 16. The reports will be provided no later than the 14th, 134th, and 254th days after the Effective Date, and will contain information that is current as of the Effective Date, the 120th day after the Effective Date, and the 240th day after the effective date, respectively
  - 16. The reports referred to in Paragraph 15 shall include the following information:
- a. The total number of applications submitted by the Uncertified Class Settlement Group that are pending adjudication; and
- b. The total number of applications submitted by the Uncertified Class

  Settlement Group that are pending adjudication and are awaiting the results of the FBI name check.
- 17. If any of the reporting deadlines set forth in Paragraph 15 falls on a Saturday, a Sunday, or a federal holiday, the report shall be provided to Plaintiffs' Counsel on the following business day.

### IV. USCIS ACTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE 1447(b) SUBGROUP

- 18. On or before the 120th day after the Effective Date, USCIS shall adjudicate at least 90 percent of the 1447(b) Subgroup Applications.
- 19. No later than the 134th day after the Effective Date, USCIS shall provide Plaintiffs' Counsel with a status report on the percentage of the 1447(b) Subgroup Applications that have been adjudicated. If the reporting deadline falls on a Saturday, a Sunday, or a federal holiday, the report shall be provided to Plaintiffs' Counsel on the following business day.

#### V. COSTS

after the entry of an order approving the Stipulation of Dismissal, USCIS will pay the New York Legal Assistance Group and LatinoJustice PRLDEF the total sum of \$3,483.50, for costs incurred during the litigation of this Action. This payment of \$3,483.50 is the full and final amount of payment for any and all past and present costs relating to the Action. The parties agree that the Government will not pay Plaintiffs' Counsel any amounts for any past or present attorneys' fees or expenses relating to the Action. Plaintiffs' Counsel may not seek costs or fees for any expenses occurred during the period that the Action is held in abeyance by the Court, except for the drafting of a motion to reinstate that results in reinstatement of the Action, if it is then followed by a final decision on the merits of the case in Plaintiffs' favor. However, nothing in this paragraph shall be deemed to waive USCIS's right to object to any application by Plaintiffs for costs associated with drafting a motion to reinstate.

#### VI. RELEASE

21. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves, their heirs, executors, administrators, representatives, attorneys, successors, assigns, agents, affiliates, and partners, and any persons they represent (together, the "Releasing Parties") hereby release and forever discharge Defendants and any department, agency, or establishment thereof and any current or former

officers, employees, agents or successors of any such department, agency or establishment, whether in their official or individual capacities (together, the "Releasees"), from any and all claims and causes of action, whether administrative or judicial, and whether currently known or unknown, that have been or could have been asserted by any of the Releasing Parties against any of the Releasees, by reason of, or with respect to, or in connection with, or which arise out of the Action.

#### VII. LIMITED RIGHT OF PARTIAL REINSTATEMENT

- 22. If the status report submitted pursuant to Paragraph 19 above indicates that USCIS has adjudicated less than 90 percent of the 1447(b) Subgroup Applications, Plaintiffs' Counsel may, no later than 14 days after the receipt of the status report described in Paragraph 19 above, make a written request to Defendants for a meeting (the "meet and confer") to attempt to resolve any outstanding disputes or concerns with respect to the 1447(b) Subgroup.
- 23. If Plaintiffs' Counsel make the written request described in Paragraph 22, Plaintiffs and USCIS will endeavor to meet and confer within 14 days after making a written request to Defendants for a meet and confer, or on a date to be agreed upon by the Parties.
- 24. USCIS shall make available at such a meet and confer a USCIS officer with knowledge of the reasons why USCIS did not adjudicate at least 90 percent of the 1447(b) Subgroup's Applications and USCIS's proposed measures for completing the adjudication of those applications.
- 25. Any information disclosed during the meet and confer may not be used by Plaintiffs' Counsel in any other action. To the extent that the protective order in force in this Action applies to such information, Defendants may invoke the confidentiality provisions of that order.
- 26. No later than 14 days after the meet and confer described in Paragraph 23, or if USCIS declines Plaintiffs' Counsel's written request for a meet and confer or does not respond

to Plaintiffs' Counsel's written request for a meet and confer, or upon USCIS's failure to comply with Paragraphs 15, 19 or 20, Plaintiffs' Counsel may make an application to the Court to reinstate the Action for resolution of Plaintiffs' motion for certification of the proposed subclass with respect to Counts II and III only, and as to defendant USCIS only.

- 27. The parties agree that pursuant to the January 29, 2010 Mandate, in the event of the reinstatement provided for in Paragraph 26 above, the only further proceedings remaining in the Court are resolution of Plaintiffs' motion for certification of the proposed subclass with respect to Counts II and III against defendant USCIS, and re-entry of judgment as to those Counts.
- 28. The parties further agree that any appeal taken from such judgment will be limited to Plaintiffs' motion for Class Certification and to Counts II and III as to USCIS only.

### VIII. NO OTHER REMEDY FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH SETTLEMENT

Plaintiffs agree that the limited right of partial reinstatement described in Paragraphs 22 to 27 is their sole and exclusive remedy for any failure of Defendants to comply with any terms of this Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to the undertaking to take the actions described in Paragraphs 15, 18, 19, and 20. Plaintiffs waive any other remedy that they may have, including any remedy for breach of contract, violation of Court order, or contempt of Court, and agree that they will not seek to exercise any remedies for any alleged failure to comply with the terms of this Settlement Agreement other than the limited right of partial reinstatement described in Paragraphs 22 to 27. Plaintiffs acknowledge that Paragraphs 22 to 27 describe only a limited remedy applicable under certain circumstances, and agree that to the extent Paragraphs 22 to 27 are inapplicable by their terms to any alleged failure to comply with the terms of this Settlement Agreement, then Plaintiffs will have no remedy for such failure to comply.

#### IX. EFFECTIVE DATE OF SETTLEMENT

- 30. Upon all parties' execution of the Stipulation and the Settlement Agreement, the parties shall submit the Stipulation of Dismissal (with the Settlement Agreement annexed as an exhibit thereto) to the Court for approval.
- 31. The Effective Date of the Settlement Agreement shall be the date, after the Court has approved the Stipulation of Dismissal, on which the Clerk of the Court enters on the docket the so-ordered Stipulation of Dismissal.

#### VIII. NO ADMISSION OF WRONGDOING

32. This Settlement Agreement, whether or not executed, is not and shall not be construed as an admission by the Government of the truth of any allegation or the validity of any claim asserted in Action or of the Government's liability therein. This Settlement Agreement is not a concession or an admission of any fault or omission in any act, or in any policy, program, plan, directive, statement, written document, or report heretofore issued, filed made or adopted by the Government, or that the Government has committed any violation of law. None of the terms of this Settlement Agreement may be offered or received in evidence or in any way referred to in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding other than proceedings that may be necessary to consummate this Settlement Agreement or reopen the Action. The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall not be construed by anyone for any purpose whatsoever as an admission or presumption of any wrongdoing on the part of the Government, nor shall it be construed as an admission by Defendants that the consideration be given hereunder represents any wrongdoing on the part of the Government.

### IX. COVENANT NOT TO SUE

33. Plaintiffs hereby covenant that they will refrain from commencing any action or suit, or prosecuting any pending action or suit, in law or in equity, on account of any claim or cause of action released hereby, including any actions or suits based upon alleged breaches of or

disputes arising out of the terms of this Settlement Agreement. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be deemed to be a waiver or relinquishment of any rights of any individual member of the 1447(b) Subgroup from pursuing an individual remedy pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1447(b).

#### X. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 34. This Settlement Agreement may not be modified or amended, nor may any of its provisions be waived, except by a writing signed by all parties hereto or their successors-in-interest.
- 35. The waiver by one party or any breach of this Settlement Agreement by any other party shall not be deemed a waiver of any other prior or subsequent breach of this Settlement Agreement.
- 36. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire agreement among the parties hereto concerning the terms of the settlement of the Action, and no representations, warranties, or inducements have been made by any party hereto other than those contained and memorialized in such documents.
- 37. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument provided that counsel for the parties to this Settlement shall exchange among themselves original signed counterparts.
- 38. This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the parties hereto.
- 39. This Settlement Agreement shall not be construed more strictly against one party than another merely by virtue of the fact that it, or any part of it, may have been prepared by counsel for one of the parties, it being recognized by the parties that this Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's length negotiations between the parties and that all parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Settlement.

- 40. Plaintiffs' Counsel who are signatories hereto hereby represent, warrant, and guarantee that they are duly authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement on behalf of each named Plaintiff.
- 41. This Settlement Agreement may be amended so long as such amendment is set forth in a writing executed by all parties hereto or their successors-in-interest, a copy of which shall be promptly provided to the Court.

Dated: New York, New York September 17, 2010

For Plaintiffs:

LATINOJUSTICE PRLDEF

Foster Maer, Esq.
Jose-Luis Perez, Esq.
Jackson Chin, Esq.
99 Hudson Street, 14th Floor
New York, NY 10013

Ph: (212) 219-3360

NEW YORK LEGAL ASSISTANCE GROUP Jane Greengold Stevens, Esq. 450 West 33rd Street, 11th Floor New York, NY 10001 Ph: (212) 613-5000

WEIL GOTSHAL AND MANGES LLP James W. Quinn, Esq. Richard W. Slack, Esq. Caroline Hickey Zalka, Esq. Daniel B. Hodes, Esq. 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Ph: (212) 310-8000 For Defendants:

PREET BHARARA
United States Attorney for the Southern
District of New York

ROBERT WILLIAM YALEN KIRTI VAIDYA REDDY TOMOKO ONOZAWA

Assistant United States Attorneys

86 Chambers Street New York, NY 10007 Ph: (212) 637-2800

# MEMORANDUM TO CHIEF CLERK CIVIL CLERKS' UNIT

U. S. <u>DISTRICT</u> COURT	N.Y.S.	COUNTY
U. S. COURT OF APPEALS/2 <sup>nd</sup> CIRCUIT	U.S. COURT OF INTER	RNATIONAL TRADE
U. S. BANKRUPTCY COURT		(OTHER)
NAME OF CASE: MILANES & NAMITAN		pen Case - 🔲 Closed Case ppealed - 🔲 Archived 'hite Plains 🗌
USAO# or COURT DOCKET #: 08 OV 2354	Judge :	
☐ Submit for Signature ☐ Get Judgement #  To ☐ Judge - ☐ Clerk ☐ Get A Signed Copy ☐ Room	Mail	tly To Chambers
Stipulation and Order of Pismiss	al	Document #, or Date
TITLE OF DOCUMENT		Document #, or Date
TITLE OF DOCUMENT		Document #, or Date
COMMENTS:		
FOR SERVICE OF PAPERS, PLEASE INDICATE	NAME AND ADDRESS	OF EACH PARTY
☐ Serve To:		
Already Served! By:		
KIRTI REDDY  Requester Ext Room #	0-17-10  Date Submitted	· ·
Civil Clerk handling request Date Rec	ceived Date C	Completed
CLERK'S OFFICE (Comments):		
File is with Open Records - Closed Records - Archives - Court Staff		
☐ But Document was Not in File - ☐ Request was Subm	nitted,	will Contact us.
Contact:		